Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/019,122	BRAND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Philip C Tucker	1712
All Participants:	Status of Application	: after final
(1) Philip C Tucker.	(3)	
(2) <u>Michelle Replogle</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 December 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 12 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a vecord of the substance of	written summary of the substance
		~
Alud		·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to limit the fluid loss additive in claim 12 to poly-hydroxypropylmethacrylate. Applicants reserve the right to file a continuation to the other species therein. The examiner requested such change in view that claim 2 was rejected by Dobson, and it was meant to indicate that claim 12 would be allowable if limited to the poly-hydroxypropylmethacrylate therein.